

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/638,459	08/14/2000	Steve Mattis	10001761-1	9534	
Total			EXAMINER		
			VU, VIET DUY		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2154	7	
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





Office Action Summary

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/638,459

-

Mattis et al

Examiner

Viet Vu

Art Unit 2154

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover she	et with	the correspondence address		
	for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the						
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply withir period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will app to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	oly and will expire SIX se the application to be	(6) MONT ecome AB	HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 14, 2	2000				
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	tion is non-final.				
3) 🗆) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
	ition of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>			is/are pending in the application.		
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideratio		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-18</u>					
7) 💢	Claim(s) 2, 3, 19, and 20					
8) 🗆	Claims					
Applica	ation Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	re al accepto	ed or t	D objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office act	ion.			
12)	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received	ıqA ni b	plication No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17	7.2(a)).	•		
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	·				
14)∐	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 3	35 U.S.	C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachm	eent(s) otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗔	/DT			
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			(O-413) Paper No(s)		
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6) Other:					
_		-, 🗀				

Serial No. 09/638,459

DETAILED ACTION

Art Rejections:

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1 and 4-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Choksi et al, U.S. pat. No. 6,477,243 in view of Luzeski et al, U.S. pat. No. 6,430,177.

<u>Choksi</u> discloses a method and system for delivery facsimile messages to users comprising:

a) receiving a message transmitted from a source (e.g., facsimile
device) (see Choksi's col 5, lines 3-11),

Serial No. 09/638,459

- b) transmitting the received message via electronic mail to user's portable device (Choksi's 8, lines 39-48),
- c) alternatively transmitting the message via a web-based data storage site (see Choksi's col 8, lines 49-56).

Choksi teaches sending notification message to users with an embedded link to the storage site for enabling the user to access the message using the web browser (see Choksi's col 8, lines 56-63). Choksi does not teach using a predetermined a data set size threshold to decide whether to send the message in a particular route and format, e.g., email. Luzeski discloses a messaging system for routing smaller messages via conventional emails and larger message via a data storage (see Luzeski's col 6, lines 42-62).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify <u>Choksi</u> with <u>Luzeski's</u> teachings because it would have enabled delivering large messages such as facsimile messages more efficiently (see <u>Luzeski's col 55-62</u>).

Allowable Subject Matter:

4. Claims 2-3 and 19-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Serial No. 09/638,459

Conclusion:

- 5. The references cited by the examiner on PTO-892 but not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet Vu whose telephone number is (703) 305-9597. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:00am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An, can be reached on (703) 305-9678.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

VIET D. VU PRIMARY EXAMINER

·huh)~

Art Unit 2154 9/29/03